The most important effect of alkylation is the decreased Ti–Cl distance. This can be rationalized in terms of the inductive electron donation by the alkyl groups and is in accord with the suggestions of enhanced electron-releasing ability of a peralkylated C_sR_s group based on chemical reactivity. This can be seen as increasing electron density in orbitals which are of bonding character for the Ti–Cl bonds. The strengthening of these bonds corresponds to the enhanced chemical stability for $(C_sR_s)TiX_3$ compounds. For $(C_sH_5)_2TiCl_2$, the effects are much smaller and the steric interference between the alkylated rings is probably more important (McKenzie *et al.*, 1975).

The crystal contains no significantly short intermolecular distances, and the packing is dominated by CH_3 - CH_3 and CH_3 -Cl contacts.

References

- ALCOCK, N. W. (1970). Crystallographic Computing, edited by F. R. AHMED, pp. 274–278. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- DUFF, A. W. (1982). DPhil Thesis, Univ. of Sussex.
- GORSICH, R. D. (1958). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 4744.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- MCKENZIE, T. C., SANNER, R. D. & BERÇAW, J. E. (1975). J. Organomet. Chem. 102, 457–466.
- MAITLIS, P. M. (1978). Acc. Chem. Res. 11, 301-307.
- PEZ, G. P. & ARMOR, J. N. (1981). Adv. Organomet. Chem. 19, 1-50.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- STEWART, J. M. (1976). The XRAY76 system. Tech. Rep. TR-446. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.
- TKACHEV, V. V. & ATOVMYAN, L. O. (1972). J. Struct. Chem. (USSR), 13, 263-266.

Acta Cryst. (1984). C40, 600-602

Octacarbonyl-bis(μ -{iodo[tetracarbonyl(triphenylphosphine)rhenio]stannio(IV)})dirhenium, [Re₂(CO)₈{Sn(I)Re(CO)₄[P(C₆H₅)₃]}₂]

BY HANS PREUT

Lehrstuhl für Anorganische Chemie II, Universität Dortmund, D-4600 Dortmund 50, Postfach 500 500, Federal Republic of Germany

AND HANS-JÜRGEN HAUPT AND ULRICH FLÖRKE

Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Universität-GH Paderborn, D-4790 Paderborn, Warburger Strasse 100, Federal Republic of Germany

(Received 10 October 1983; accepted 6 December 1983)

Abstract. $M_r = 2208 \cdot 7$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, $a = 9 \cdot 096$ (7), $b = 29 \cdot 271$ (9), $c = 11 \cdot 291$ (7) Å, $\beta = 93 \cdot 73$ (5)°, U = 3000 Å³, Z = 2, $D_x = 2 \cdot 445$ Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo Ka) = 0 $\cdot 71069$ Å, $\mu = 10 \cdot 5$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 2012, T = 294 (1) K. Final $R = 0 \cdot 034$ for 2978 observed reflections. The central fragment of the molecule consists of a planar Re₂Sn₂ rhombus with an Re-Re bond $[r(\text{Re-Re}) = 3 \cdot 176$ (1) Å] across the metal ring. The iodine and Re(C₁₈H₁₅P)(CO)₄ ligand pairs bonded to Sn are in the *trans* position with respect to the ring plane.

Introduction. In the course of our investigation on the influence of different metal atoms on the geometry of four-membered metal rings in clusters of the type $[Mn_2(CO)_8{\mu-M(X)Mn(CO)_5}_2]$ (M = Ge, X = Br, I; M = Sn, X = Br, Cl) (Preut & Haupt, 1980) we have prepared the compound $[Re_2(CO)_8{\mu-Sn(I)-Re(C_{18}H_{15}P)(CO)_4}_2]$ and determined the crystal structure. The result of the structure analysis is furthermore

0108-2701/84/040600-03\$01.50

used for our study of a relationship between packing forces and the geometry of the molecules in such crystals.

Experimental. The yellow crystals were prepared by a reaction of SnI_2 with $[Re_2(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2(CO)_8]$ in xylene at 443 K during a reaction time of four weeks in a bomb tube.

 $\omega/2\theta$ scan, scan speed of $0.74-3.33^{\circ}$ min⁻¹ in θ , Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, graphitemonochromated Mo $K\alpha$, crystal size $0.3 \times 0.3 \times$ 0.4 mm, lattice parameters from least-squares fit with 24 reflections in the range $10.6 \le \theta \le 13.9^{\circ}$; three standard reflections, recorded every 4 h: only random deviations; 4589 reflections measured, $2 \le \theta \le 23^{\circ}$, $h \ 0 \rightarrow 9$, $k \ 0 \rightarrow 32$, $l-12 \rightarrow 12$; after averaging: 2978 observed reflections with $F_o > 3\sigma(F_o)$, Lorentzpolarization correction, absorption correction via ψ -scans; systematic absences: $(h0l) \ l = 2n+1$ and (0k0)k = 2n+1, space group $P2_1/c$; structure solution with

© 1984 International Union of Crystallography

MULTAN80 (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1980)-positions of Re, Sn, I and **P** revealed, ΔF syntheses and full-matrix least-squares refinements with anisotropic temperature factors for all non-H atoms; before last three refinement cycles the H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and a common temperature factor for H atoms refined to B = 6 (1) Å²; at the end of the refinement the C-H distances are in the range 0.97(2) to 0.99(2) Å and the C-C-H angles are in the range 118 (2) to 121 (2)°; complex neutral-atom scattering factors from Cromer (1974) and Cromer & Waber (1974); least-squares refinement based on F^2 and 353 refined parameters, R = 0.034, unit weights, $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.02$, no significant features in a final ΔF synthesis; programs: Enraf-Nonius SDP (Frenz, 1981), ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976), POP1 (van de Waal, 1976).

Discussion. The structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1 (ORTEP) and in a stereoscopic view in Fig. 2 (POP1). Atomic parameters are given in Table 1,* bond lengths and angles in Table 2.

* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic temperature factors, H-atom coordinates and a complete list of bond lengths and angles have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39093 (29 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 1. General view of the molecule.



Fig. 2. Stereoscopic view of the molecule.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors $(Å^2)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E	$B_{\rm eq} = \frac{4}{3} \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}$	<i>i</i> . a <i>j</i> .	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		x	у	Ζ	B_{eq}
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Re(1)	0.86435 (7)	0.01168(2)	0.90936 (5)	2.77 (1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Re(2)	0.83381 (7)	0.11382(2)	0-25682 (5)	2.68 (1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I(1)	0.8428 (1)	-0.13520 (4)	1.0100(1)	4.91 (3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sn(1)	1.0233 (1)	-0.06934 (4)	0.91260(9)	2.84(2)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P(I)	1.3050(4)	-0.1541(1)	0.5989(3)	2.86 (8)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0(11)	1.064 (2)	0.0580 (6)	0.729(1)	7.7 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0(12)	0.654 (1)	0.0937 (5)	0.873 (1)	6.4 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O(13)	0.720 (2)	-0.0424 (5)	0.701 (1)	7.1 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O(14)	1.350(1)	0.0292 (5)	0.914(1)	6.5 (3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O(21)	1.235(1)	-0.1838(5)	0.946 (1)	6.4 (3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O(22)	1.446 (2)	-0.0592 (6)	0.827 (2)	9.4 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O(23)	1.049 (2)	-0.0384 (6)	0.568 (1)	10.7 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O(24)	0.870 (1)	-0.1646(6)	0.682(1)	7.6 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(11)	0.996 (2)	0.0404 (7)	0.800(1)	4.2 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(12)	0.736 (2)	0.0639 (6)	0.888(1)	4.0 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(13)	0.771(2)	-0.0226(6)	0.779(2)	4.2 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(14)	0.729 (2)	-0.0162 (6)	1.023(1)	$4 \cdot 1 (4)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(21)	1-212 (2)	-0.1576 (6)	0.869(1)	$4 \cdot 1 (4)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(22)	1-347 (2)	-0.0799 (6)	0.796 (2)	5.3 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(23)	1.097 (2)	-0.0669 (7)	0.631(2)	6.6 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(24)	0.981 (2)	-0.1455 (7)	0.704 (2)	5.3 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(111)	1.191 (2)	-0.1806 (6)	0.476 (1)	3.2 (3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(112)	1.203 (2)	-0.1681 (8)	0.360(2)	5.7 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(113)	1.109 (3)	-0 1874 (9)	0.274 (2)	7.2 (6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(114)	1.006 (2)	-0.2185 (8)	0.300 (2)	6.6 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(115)	0.994 (2)	-0.2301(7)	0.416(2)	5.2 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(116)	1.086 (2)	-0.2108(6)	0-505 (2)	4.3 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(121)	1.415(1)	-0.2016 (5)	0.658(1)	3.0 (3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(122)	1.419 (2)	-0.2431 (6)	0.603(2)	4.8 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(123)	1.504 (2)	-0.2780 (7)	0.652(2)	6.6 (6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(124)	1.589 (2)	-0.2721(7)	0.753(2)	5.5 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(125)	1.592 (2)	-0.2313 (8)	0.807(2)	5.7 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(126)	1.507 (2)	-0.1944 (6)	0.761(2)	4.2 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(131)	1.435 (2)	-0.1196 (7)	0.523 (1)	4.4 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(132)	1.572 (2)	-0.1376 (7)	0.500(2)	5.4 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C(133)	1.668 (2)	-0.1115 (9)	0.433 (2)	7.4 (6)
C(135) 1 · 493 (2) -0 · 0522 (8) 0 · 415 (2) 7 · 1 (6) C(136) 1 · 399 (2) -0 · 0760 (7) 0 · 480 (2) 5 · 2 (5)	C(134)	1.622 (2)	-0.0679 (8)	0.391 (2)	6.9 (6)
C(136) $1.399(2) = -0.0760(7) = 0.480(2) = 5.2(5)$	C(135)	1.493 (2)	-0.0522 (8)	0.415 (2)	7.1 (6)
	C(136)	1.399 (2)	-0.0760 (7)	0.480 (2)	5.2 (5)

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

Symmetry code: (i) 2 - x, \overline{y} , 2 - z.

Re(1)-Sn(1)	2.776 (1)	Sn(1)–I(1)	2·802 (2)
$Re(1)-Sn(1^{i})$	2.769 (1)	Re(2)–Sn(1 ⁱ)	2·714 (1)
$Re(1)-Re(1^{i})$	3.176 (1)	Re(2)–P(1)	2·430 (4)
$\begin{array}{l} Re(1) - Sn(1^{i}) - Re(1^{i}) \\ Re(1) - Sn(1^{i}) - I(1) \\ Re(1) - Sn(1^{i}) - Re(2) \\ Re(1^{i}) - Sn(1^{i}) - Re(2) \end{array}$	69-89 (3) 105-97 (4) 129-26 (4) 132-32 (4)	$\begin{array}{l} Re(1^{i})-Sn(1^{i})-I(1)\\ Re(2)-Sn(1^{i})-I(1)\\ Sn(1)-Re(1)-Sn(1^{i})\\ Sn(1)-Re(2)-P(1) \end{array}$	109·42 (4) 105·43 (4) 110·11 (3) 177·03 (9)

The unit cell contains two centrosymmetrical molecules. As in the compounds $[Mn_2(CO)_8]\mu$ - $Sn(X)Mn(CO)_{2}$ (X = Cl, Br) (Haupt, Preut & Wolfes, 1978; Preut & Haupt, 1976) the acute angles at the Sn atoms in the planar four-membered ring indicate a bond across the ring between the transition-metal atoms. This bond is demanded by the 18-electron rule for these transition-metal atoms. The present study shows that the Re-Re interaction across the ring is stronger than the corresponding Mn-Mn interaction in $[Mn_2(CO)_8{\mu-Sn(X)Mn(CO)_5}]$ (X = Cl, Br) as is indicated by the decrease of the acute ring angles at the Sn atoms from 72.17 (2) and 71.91 (2)° in the Mn compounds to 69.89 (3)° in the title compound.

The compound $[\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_8\{\mu-\text{InRe}(\text{CO})_5\}_2]$, which contains a similar planar four-membered ring $[\text{Re}-\text{In}-\text{Re}\ 71.07\ (3)^\circ$, Re-Re 3.232 (1) Å] (Preut & Haupt, 1975), in which Sn is replaced by In, an atom of comparable size, has significantly larger acute ring angles at the In atoms and a longer Re-Re bond length across the ring. The variation of the homonuclear bond length and of the ring angle could be caused by the higher valence state of Sn compared with In in such clusters.

Both Sn atoms in the title compound are bonded to iodine and Re(C₁₈H₁₅P)(CO)₄ ligands. The ligand pairs are in the *trans* position with respect to the ring plane. The bond length Sn(1)-Re(2) is markedly shorter than the Sn-Re bond lengths in the ring and the bond lengths in [SnBr₂{Re(C₁₈H₁₅P)(CO)₄}₂] [Sn-Re: 2.741 (2), 2.730 (2) Å] (Preut & Haupt, 1983). Such differences have not been observed between the Sn-Mn bonds in [Mn₂(CO)₈{ μ -Sn(X)Mn(CO)₅}₂] (X = Cl, Br).

Intermolecular distances do not indicate interactions exceeding van der Waals forces.

References

- CROMER, D. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.3.1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- FRENZ, B. A. (1981). Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. 4th ed., version 18. Delft Univ. Press.
- HAUPT, H.-J., PREUT, H. & WOLFES, W. (1978). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 446, 105-118.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- PREUT, H. & HAUPT, H.-J. (1975). Chem. Ber. 108. 1447-1453.
- PREUT, H. & HAUPT, H.-J. (1976). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 422, 47-53.
- PREUT, H. & HAUPT, H.-J. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 678-680.
- PREUT, H. & HAUPT, H.-J. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 981-982.
- WAAL, B. W. VAN DE (1976). POP1. Plot-of-Packing Program. Twente Univ. of Technology, Enschede, The Netherlands.

Acta Cryst. (1984). C40, 602–604

Dimeric *tert*-Butoxymagnesium Bromide–Diethyl Ether Adduct,* $[Mg_2Br_2(C_4H_9O)_2(C_4H_{10}O)_2]$: the Addition Product of a Grignard Reagent with Acetone

BY NORMAN A. BELL,[‡] PATRICK T. MOSELEY§ AND HARRISON M. M. SHEARER[†]

Department of Chemistry, University of Durham, Science Laboratories, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, England

(Received 18 May 1983; accepted 21 November 1983)

Abstract. $M_r = 502.9$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, a = 9.68 (2), b = 11.10 (2), c = 15.10 (2) Å, $\beta = 129.1$ (2)°, U = 1259.1 Å³, Z = 2 (dimer units), $D_m = 1.30$ (2), $D_x = 1.33$ Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo K α) = 0.7107 Å, $\mu = 3.5$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 520, T = 293 K. Final R is 0.0986 for 672 observed photographic data. The molecule is a dimer, as in solution, with a four-membered Mg₂O₂ ring linking the two halves of the dimer round a centre of symmetry. Whereas the Mg atoms are four-coordinate by virtue of the two short bridging *tert*-butoxy O atoms, a longer terminal ether O and a terminal Br atom, the two kinds of O atom are three-coordinate and trigonal, with the central O and its three neighbours lying very accurately in a plane. Thus there is some degree of $d_{\pi}-p_{\pi}$ interaction between the metal and *tert*-butoxy O atoms.

Introduction. Though organic chemists often consider the Grignard reagent to behave as simply 'RMgX' and consider reaction mechanisms in terms of such a formulation, considerable effort has been devoted to the study of the true constitution of solutions of Grignard reagents and also to the kinetics and mechanism of their reactions with carbonyls (Ashby, 1980; Ashby & Yu, 1971). Crystallographic studies have shown that Grignard reagents $RMgBr.2Et_2O$ (R = Et, Ph), isolated from diethyl ether solutions, contain monomeric units, with Mg in an approximately tetrahedral environment (Stucky & Rundle, 1964; Guggenberger & Rundle, 1968), but there is little information about the nature of the products of the reactions of Grignard reagents with ketones before the usual hydrolysis is performed. Only rarely have these products been isolated and such

© 1984 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Di-*µ-tert*-butoxo-bis[bromo(diethyl ether)magnesium].

[†] Deceased.

[‡] To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Department of Chemistry, Sheffield City Polytechnic, Pond Street, Sheffield S1 1WB, England.

[§] Present address: Materials Development Division, AERE Harwell, Oxford OX11 0RA, England.